

R E S O L U T I O N

WHEREAS, Holy Cross PBS Church, Inc. is the owner of a 9.83-acre parcel of land known as Parcel 151, Tax Map 73 in Grid C-2, said property being in the 18th Election District of Prince George's County, Maryland, and being zoned R-T (DDOZ); and

WHEREAS, on November 2, 2007, Century Engineering, Inc. filed an application for approval of a Preliminary Plan of Subdivision (Staff Exhibit #1) for 1 parcel; and

WHEREAS, the application for approval of the aforesaid Preliminary Subdivision Plan, also known as Preliminary Plan 4-07019 for Holy Cross PBS Church was presented to the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission by the staff of the Commission on April 3, 2008, for its review and action in accordance with Article 28, Section 7-116, Annotated Code of Maryland and the Regulations for the Subdivision of Land, Subtitle 24, Prince George's County Code; and

WHEREAS, the staff of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission recommended APPROVAL of the application with conditions; and

WHEREAS, on April 3, 2008, the Prince George's County Planning Board heard testimony and received evidence submitted for the record on the aforesaid application.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that pursuant to the provisions of Subtitle 24, Prince George's County Code, the Prince George's County Planning Board APPROVED the Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/03/00), and further APPROVED Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-07019, Holy Cross PBS Church for Parcel A with the following conditions:

1. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan of subdivision, the following technical corrections shall be made:
 - a. Accurately indicate the number of proposed seats in the sanctuary.
 - b. Indicate the SWM approval number and date. Submit a copy of the approved plan.
 - c. Remove the 40-foot building restriction line along the perimeter of the site.
2. A Type II Tree Conservation Plan shall be approved with the detailed site plan.
3. Development of this site shall be in conformance with the approved Stormwater Management Concept Plan, and any subsequent revisions.

4. A Phase I (Identification) archeological investigations, according to the Planning Board's *Guidelines for Archeological Review* (May 2005), shall be submitted with the application for Detailed Site Plan on the above-referenced property to determine if any cultural resources are present. The entire property shall be surveyed for archeological sites. Evidence of M-NCPPC concurrence with the final Phase I report and recommendations is required prior to submittal of the DSP.
 - a. Upon receipt of the Phase I (Identification) archeological investigations report by the Planning Department, if it is determined that potentially significant archeological resources exist in the project area, prior to Planning Board approval of any Detailed Site Plan or final plat, the applicant shall provide a plan for:
 - (1) Evaluating the resource at the Phase II level, or
 - (2) Avoiding and preserving the resource in place.
 - b. If a Phase II and/or Phase III archeological evaluation or mitigation is necessary the applicant shall provide a final report detailing the Phase II and/or Phase III investigations and ensure that all artifacts are curated in a proper manner, prior to approval of the Detailed Site Plan.
 - c. Depending upon the significance of findings (at Phase I, II, or III level), the applicant shall provide interpretive signage. The location and wording shall be subject to approval by the staff archeologist at the time of review of the Detailed Site Plan.
5. Any residential development of the subject property shall require the approval of a new preliminary plan of subdivision prior to the approval of any building permits.
6. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary plan, the TCPI shall be revised as follows:
 - a. Show limits of disturbance on the plan.
 - b. Remove the Woodland Conservation Area Management notes from the plan.
 - c. Show the correct Type I Tree Conservation Plan Notes. Show the correct acreage of the woodland conservation requirement in the site summary table.
 - d. Remove the slope symbols from the plan.
 - e. Show the critical root zone for each specimen tree.
 - f. Change the title of the plan and signature block to "Type I Tree Conservation Plan."

- g. In the signature block, show the name and date of the previous approval, typed (J. Stasz, 12/06/01)
 - h. Have the revised plan signed and dated by the by the qualified professional who prepared the plan.
7. Development of this subdivision shall be in conformance with an approved Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/003/00-01). The following note shall be placed on the Final Plat of Subdivision:
- “Development is subject to the restrictions shown on the approved Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/003/00-01), or as modified by the Type II Tree Conservation Plan, and precludes any disturbance or installation of any structure within specified areas. Failure to comply will mean a violation of an approved Tree Conservation Plan and will make the owner subject to mitigation under the Woodland Conservation Ordinance. This property is subject to the notification provisions of CB-60-2005. Copies of all approved Tree Conservation Plans for the subject property are available in the offices of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission, Prince Georges County Planning Department.”
- 8. At the time of detailed site plan review, the site shall utilize underground stormwater management facilities in order to remain consistent with the urban character to be developed along Addison Road and in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Public Works and Transportation, unless it is determined to not be feasible.
 - 9. Prior to the issuance of grading permits the applicant shall demonstrate that any abandoned well or septic system has been pumped, backfilled and/or sealed in accordance with COMAR 26.04.04 by a licensed well driller or witnessed by a representative of the Health Department.
 - 10. Total development within the subject property shall be limited to church and related facilities totaling 52,500 square feet (identified as Phase 1), a student day care center for 200 children, and a senior day care center for no more than 50 persons (to be constructed as Phase 2), or equivalent development that is permitted within the R-T and C-S-C Zones which generates no more than 238 AM, 240 PM, and 605 midday Sunday peak-hour vehicle trips. Any development other than that identified herein above shall require an additional preliminary plat of subdivision with a new determination of the adequacy of transportation facilities.
 - 11. At the time of final plat approval, the applicant shall dedicate the needed right-of-way along Addison Road, which shall be no less than 60 feet from the existing center, in accordance with the funded Capital Improvement Project to widen this roadway.
 - 12. At the time of final plat approval, the applicant shall dedicate 60 feet right-of-way along the entire northern property line for construction of an east-west primary street per DPW&T standards between Addison Road and western limits of the subject property.

13. At the time of final plat approval, the applicant shall dedicate the needed right-of-way along the southwestern limits of subject property for the extension and construction of Wallbridge Street as a primary residential street, per DPW&T standards, as shown on the submitted plan.
14. Based on the prior approvals for the subject site, prior to the issuance of any building permits within the subject property development the following road improvements shall (a) have full financial assurances, (b) have been permitted for construction, and (c) have an agreed-upon timetable for construction with the SHA/DPW&T:
 - Widening of Addison Road per DPW&T standards to include an exclusive left turn lane on northbound Addison Road as well as provision of acceleration/deceleration lanes on southbound Addison road at Hanlon street intersection road, provided the programmed widening of Addison Road is not constructed through the County's CIP program.
 - The applicant shall submit an acceptable traffic signal warrant study to DPW&T for the intersection of Addison Road and Hanlon Street. The applicant should utilize a new 12-hour count, and should analyze signal warrants under total future traffic as well as existing traffic at the direction of DPW&T. If a signal is deemed warranted by the responsible agency at that time, the applicant shall bond the signal prior to the release of any building permits within the subject property, and install it at a time when directed by the appropriate permitting agency.
15. Prior of issuance of any building permit in excess of the initial 38,225 square feet of church space, within the subject property and up to the maximum levels indicated in Condition13 above, the following additional improvements shall (a) have full financial assurances, (b) have been permitted for construction, and (c) have an agreed-upon timetable for construction with the SHA/DPW&T:
 - Provision of a double left-turn lanes and an exclusive right-turn lane on westbound approach of Walker Mill Road at its intersection with Addison Road, and per DPW&T standards.
 - Provision of an exclusive eastbound right-turn lane, three through lanes, and an exclusive left-turn lane along MD 214 at its intersection with Addison Road, per DPW&T and SHA standards.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the findings and reasons for the decision of the Prince George's County Planning Board are as follows:

1. The subdivision, as modified, meets the legal requirements of Subtitles 24 and 27 of the Prince George's County Code and of Article 28, Annotated Code of Maryland.

2. The subject property is located on the west side of Addison Road South, approximately 1,350 feet south of its intersection with MD 214 and north of Walker Mill Road.
3. **Development Data Summary**—The following information relates to the subject preliminary plan application and the proposed development.

Zone	EXISTING	PROPOSED
Use(s)	R-T (ARM/DDOZ) Residential	R-T (ARM/DDOZ) Church (52,500) 1,000 seats, 200-student day care center, 50- person senior day care center
Acreage	9.83	9.83
Parcels	1	1
Dwelling Units:		
Detached	1	0
Public Safety Mitigation Fee		No

4. **Environmental**—The Environmental Planning Section previously reviewed this Preliminary Plan 4-01051 and Type I Tree Conservation Plan TCPI/003/00. The current application proposes one R-T-zoned parcel totaling 9.83 acres.

Site Description

The site drains into unnamed tributaries of the Anacostia River watershed in the Anacostia River basin. The site contains no sensitive environmental features such as streams, wetlands, and 100-year floodplain. Addison Road is an arterial roadway. Because no residential uses are proposed for this subdivision, noise mitigation is not required. The primary soil type found to occur on the subject property according to the Prince George’s County Soils Survey is the Collington and Westphalia series. Based on the information obtained from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources Natural Heritage Program, there are no rare, threatened or endangered species found to occur in the vicinity of this property. There are no designated scenic and historic roads adjacent to this property. This property is located in the Developed Tier as delineated on the approved General Plan.

Master Plan Conformance

The subject property is located within the Addison Road Metro Town Center sector plan. The environmental requirements for woodland preservation, stormwater management, and noise are addressed below. There are no other specific environmental recommendations or design standards that require review for conformance.

Countywide Green Infrastructure Plan

The site is not located within the designated network of the *Countywide Green Infrastructure*

Plan.

Environmental Review

A signed natural resource inventory (NRI/052/07) dated August 8, 2007, was submitted with the application package. There are no streams, wetlands, and 100-year floodplain located on the property. The FSD indicates two forest stands totaling 2.62 acres. Three specimen trees were identified and are proposed to be removed. The required information on the NRI is correctly shown on the preliminary plan and the Type I tree conservation plan.

This property is subject to the provisions of the Prince George's County Woodland Conservation Ordinance because it has a previously approved tree conservation plan (TCPI/003/00). The revised Type I Tree Conservation Plan, TCPI/003/00-01, has been reviewed and was found to conform to the requirements of the Woodland Conservation Ordinance.

The woodland conservation threshold for this site is 1.97 acres and the total requirement is 4.10 acres. The plan proposes to meet this requirement with 4.10 acres of off-site mitigation, which is appropriate on this site because there are no sensitive environmental features on the site, and it is located within the Developed Tier.

Several technical revisions are required to the tree conservation plan. The limits of disturbance are not shown on the plan and the woodland conservation area management notes provided on Sheet 2 of the plan should be removed because this information is only required on a TCPII. The plan should correct the Type I tree conservation plan notes and show the critical root zone for each specimen tree. The plan should also eliminate the slopes symbols from the plan so that the plan is more readable.

Water and Sewer Categories

The water and sewer service categories are W-3 and S-3 according to water and sewer maps obtained from the Department of Environmental Resources dated June 2003, and will therefore be served by public systems.

5. **Community Planning**—The subject property is located within the *Approved Sector Plan and Sectional Map Amendment for the Addison Road Metro Town Center and Vicinity* dated October 2000, in Planning Area 75A in the Addison South subarea. The concept for the ARM Town Center is to create a pedestrian-oriented, mixed-use town center to serve the local community and Metro riders. A Public/Quasi Public and Residential/Low Urban land use is indicated for the property in the ARM plan in response to the church's proposal, which was known at the time of the ARM plan preparation. The church is located in a proposed high-quality, single-family neighborhood at both medium- and low- urban densities. Single-family attached residential uses are recommended for the property if the proposed church is not constructed.

The ARM plan includes specific recommendations for street standards. Two Type II residential streets (60-foot right-of-way) are proposed for the property, one located on the northern property line and one bisecting the southwestern portion of the property. These streets are parts of an interconnected road network that is proposed for the town center. This includes the urban boulevard on Addison Road South and a grid network of internal roads to serve the Addison South subarea and connections to Metro West to the north.

The responsibility for proposed road and trail dedications and construction were evaluated during the review of the previously submitted preliminary plan of subdivision for this property (4-00005) in early 2000, and then again in 2001 with the review and approval of preliminary plan 4-01051 (PGCPB Resolution 01-241). The current preliminary plan of subdivision reflects the dedication for the urban boulevard (Addison Road South) and portions of the grid road network. The Type II curved road which was proposed to bisect the western portion of the property in the sector plan has been relocated and approved as a part of the Brighton Place preliminary plan (4-04011) and detailed site plan (DSP-04082), while preserving the circulation for these properties envisioned.

The preliminary plan conforms to the Addison Road Metro Town Center and vicinity sector plan.

6. **Urban Design**—The property is located on the west side of Addison Road, south of Central Avenue, and is within Subarea 4 of the town center of the approved 2000 Addison Road Metro Town Center and vicinity sector plan and sectional map amendment. The site is also within the Development District Overlay Zone (DDOZ) and is therefore subject to detailed site plan approval by the Planning Board.

Conformance with the *Landscape Manual*

The development as proposed will require conformance to Section 4.2, Commercial and Industrial Landscaped Strip Requirements; Section 4.3, Parking Lot Requirements; and Section 4.4, Screening Requirements of the *Landscape Manual*. The site's conformance with the *Landscape Manual* will be reviewed at time of detailed site plan.

Design Issues

When a detailed site plan is reviewed for the site, it will have to meet the standards of the Addison Road Metro Town Center DDOZ. The DDOZ standards include a number of requirements with regard to site design, architecture, parking, signage, and streetscapes. These requirements should be thoroughly addressed when the detailed site plan is submitted.

The preliminary plan currently shows a 40-foot building restriction line along all sides of the property, which is not the setback required by the R-T Zone, the ARM plan or the DDOZ. Both the preliminary plan of subdivision and the Type I tree conservation plan also show this setback information in the general notes. Because the property is within the DDOZ, it is subject to build-to line requirements which supersede the front yard setback. The DDOZ standards specifically

require that commercial and institutional buildings (including churches) that front on Addison Road are subject to a front build-to line of 10–15 feet from the right-of-way. At the time of detailed site plan review, the project will need to demonstrate that it meets this requirement.

The Type I tree conservation plan includes a potential building and site layout for the property. This layout shows the proposed buildings laid out around the west, south and east sides of the site, focusing on a central parking lot that is adjacent to Hanlon Street and Foy Place along the north side of the site. The DDOZ standards also specify that surface parking lots should be located to the side or rear of buildings in order to reduce the visual impact of parked cars and pavement adjacent to roads. If the parking lot is constructed next to the street, the DDOZ standards could require that the parking lot be screened from the road by a four-foot-wide landscape strip with a low masonry wall.

In addition, the Type I tree conservation plan shows a stormwater management area in the southeast corner of the site. The location in the southeast corner of the site is in close proximity to Addison Road. As this site is part of the Addison Road Metro Town Center, a typical above-ground stormwater management pond could constitute a disruption of the desired urban character along Addison Road. The applicant should utilize underground stormwater management facilities that are in accordance with the requirements of the Department of Public Works and Transportation in order to remain consistent with the urban character to be developed along Addison Road.

7. **Parks and Recreation**—In accordance with Section 24-134(a) of the Subdivision Regulations the proposed preliminary plan of subdivision is exempt from the requirement of mandatory dedication of parkland because the parcel being created is greater than one acre in size and is a nonresidential use.
8. **Trails**—The approved Addison Road Metro Town Center and vicinity sector plan recommends that Addison Road be constructed as an urban boulevard with improvements such as street lighting, signage, street trees, pedestrian sidewalks, bike lanes, and crosswalks. The sector plan recommends that Addison Road be a Type I street section with sidewalks and designated bike lanes. The sidewalks should be a minimum of five feet wide and the bike lanes should be designed in accordance with AASHTO guidelines.

In addition, the plan recommends a residential road across the northern boundary of the subject property in keeping with the town center concept. This should be a Type II residential roadway and should include a minimum of five-foot wide sidewalks constructed on both sides of the road. The plan also recommends that on-street parking or bike lanes also be provided. If bike lanes are included, they should be provided on both sides of the road, in conformance with the AASHTO guidelines. Staff recommends trail development in conformance with the standards and design guidelines contained in the ARM plan dated October 2000. Conformance to these standards will be determined at the time of detailed site plan review.

9. **Transportation**—The property is located on the west side of Addison Road between Rolling

Ridge Drive and Wilburn Drive. The applicant proposes to construct a church and related facilities totaling 52,500 square feet, a student day care center for 200 children, and a senior day care center for no more than 50 persons on the site.

The transportation staff determined that a traffic study detailing weekday and weekend analyses was needed. In response, the applicant submitted a traffic study dated February 2008. The findings and recommendations outlined below are based upon a review of these materials and analyses conducted by the staff of the Transportation Planning Section, consistent with the “Guidelines for the Analysis of the Traffic Impact of Development Proposals.” Comments from the county Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T) and the State Highway Administration (SHA) are attached.

Growth Policy—Service Level Standards

The subject property is located within the Developed Tier, as defined in the General Plan for Prince George’s County. As such, the subject property is evaluated according to the following standards:

- **Links and signalized intersections:** Level-of-service (LOS) E, with signalized intersections operating at a critical lane volume (CLV) of 1,600 or better. Mitigation, as defined by Section 24-124(a) (6) of the Subdivision Ordinance, is permitted at signalized intersections within any tier subject to meeting the geographical criteria in the guidelines.
- **Unsignalized intersections:** The Highway Capacity Manual procedure for unsignalized intersections is not a true test of adequacy but rather an indicator that further operational studies need to be conducted. Vehicle delay in any movement exceeding 50.0 seconds is deemed to be an unacceptable operating condition at unsignalized intersections. In response to such a finding, the Planning Board has generally recommended that the applicant provide a traffic signal warrant study and install the signal (or other less costly warranted traffic controls) if deemed warranted by the appropriate operating agency.

Analysis of Traffic Impacts

The traffic study for preliminary plan 4-07019 examined the site impact at four intersections:

- MD 332 (Old Central Avenue) with Zelma Avenue (unsignalized)
- MD 214 (Central Avenue) with Addison Road
- Addison Road with Site Access Road-proposed extension of Hanlon Street (unsignalized)
- Addison Road with Walker Mill Road (signalized)

The existing conditions at the study intersections are summarized below:

EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS				
Intersection	Critical Lane Volume (AM/PM& Midday Sunday)		Level of Service (LOS, AM/PM & Midday Sunday)	
	MD 332and Zelma Avenue	11.5/12.1*	10.4*	--
MD 214 and Addison Road	1,236/1,354	831	C/D	A
Addison Road and Site Access	Future	Future		
Addison Road and Walker Mill Road	1,373/1,754	992	D/F	A
*In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the guidelines, an average vehicle delay exceeding 50.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Values shown as “+999” suggest that the parameters are outside of the normal range of the procedure, and should be interpreted as a severe inadequacy.				

The area of background development includes ten properties in the vicinity of the subject property. Background conditions also assume through traffic growth of one percent annually along MD 214 and other roadways. There is also a CIP improvement for Addison Road. This improvement would include widening of Addison Road in the vicinity of the proposed extension of Hanlon Street. It should be noted that if this widening does not occur prior to issuance of any building permit on this site, the applicant must agree to widen Addison Road per DPW&T standard and provide an exclusive left-turn lane on northbound Addison Road as well as provision of acceleration/deceleration lanes on southbound Addison road at Hanlon street intersection.

BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS				
Intersection	Critical Lane Volume (AM/PM& Midday Sunday)		Level of Service (LOS, AM/PM & Midday Sunday)	
	MD 332and Zelma Avenue	15.6/17.4*	13.0*	--
MD 214 and Addison Road	1,348/1,575	1,020	D/E	B
Addison Road and Site Access	Future	Future		
Addison Road and Walker Mill Road	1,552/1,986	1,189	E/F	C
*In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the guidelines, an average vehicle delay exceeding 50.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Values shown as “+999” suggest that the parameters are outside of the normal range of the procedure, and should be interpreted as a severe inadequacy.				

The site is proposed for development as a church and related facilities. The site is proposed to be developed with a church and related facilities totaling 52,500 square feet (identified as Phase 1), a student day care center for 200 children, and a senior day care center for no more than 50 persons (to be constructed as Phase 2). The site trip generation for both phases would be 238 AM peak-hour trips (127 in, 111out), 240 PM peak-hour trips (114 in, 126 out), and 605 midday Sunday trips (306in, and 299 out). The site trip distribution and assignment used in the traffic study have been reviewed and were found to be acceptable and consistent with other approved studies conducted for other nearby development applications. With the addition of proposed trips for both phases, we obtain the following results under total traffic:

TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS				
Intersection	Critical Lane Volume (AM/PM& Midday Sunday)		Level of Service (LOS, AM/PM & Midday Sunday)	
	MD 332 and Zelma Avenue	17.9/20.4*	16.4*	--
MD 214 and Addison Road	1,389/1,648	1,1790	D/F	C
Addison Road and Site Access	57.2/340.7*	473.8*	F/F	F
Addison Road and Walker Mill Road	1,622/2,065	1,315	F/F	D
*In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the guidelines, an average vehicle delay exceeding 50.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Values shown as “+999” suggest that the parameters are outside of the normal range of the procedure, and should be interpreted as a severe inadequacy.				

Given these analyses, three intersections within the study area are shown to operate unacceptably with total traffic in at least one peak hour. Each of these is discussed further below:

MD 214/Addison Road

In response to the inadequacy at this intersection, the applicant has proffered to widen the eastbound MD 214 and to construct an eastbound right-turn lane along MD 214 at Addison Road. The traffic study identifies that this improvement is needed for the second phase of development. With this improvement in place, the MD 214/Addison Road intersection would operate at LOS E with a CLV of 1,470 during the PM peak hour. It is important to note that with first phase of proposed development this intersection would continue to operate adequately without the proffered improvement. The proposed improvement has been reviewed by DPW&T and SHA. Both agencies concur with staff findings that the proffered improvement for this intersection is acceptable and would adequately accommodate the reported capacity needs.

Addison Road /Hanlon Street (Site Access)

The analysis indicates that this intersection operates unacceptably as an unsignalized intersection even with the first phase of the proposed development. Addison Road is programmed in the county's CIP for widening, but this widening might not occur prior to issuance of any building permit for the subject site. As a result, the applicant must agree to construct an exclusive left-turn lane on northbound Addison Road as well as provision of acceleration/deceleration lanes on southbound Addison Road at Hanlon Street intersection road, if the widening of Addison Road is not constructed through the county's CIP program.

Additionally, the analysis indicates that this intersection operates unacceptably as an unsignalized intersection. In response to such a finding, the Planning Board has generally recommended that the applicant provide a traffic signal warrant study and install the signal if it is deemed warranted by the appropriate operating agency. The warrant study is, in itself, a more detailed study of the adequacy of the existing unsignalized intersection. Although the traffic study did not address this reported deficiency, staff believes a traffic signal warrant study should be prepared in response to the inadequacy noted. This should occur prior to the time of building permit. If such a study is done, the applicant is responsible for any improvements deemed appropriate by DPW&T.

Walker Mill Road /Addison Road

The traffic analysis identifies a severe inadequacy at this intersection. In response to the inadequacy at this intersection, the applicant has proffered mitigation. This intersection is eligible for mitigation under the fourth criterion in the *Guidelines for Mitigation Action* (approved as CR-29-1994). The applicant recommends restriping the westbound approach of Walker Mill to provide for an exclusive left-turn and a combination left-turn/right-turn lane. As the reported CLV for total traffic is greater than 1,813 during the PM peak hour, the proposed mitigation

action must mitigate at least 100 percent of the trip generated by the subject property during the PM peak hour, and the resulting CLV must be at or below 1,813. The submitted traffic study reports that the impact of this proposed mitigation would improve the reported CLV and LOS with total traffic conditions to 1,385/D in AM, 1,696/F in PM, and 1,219/C during midday Sunday. While the proposed mitigation at this intersection appears to meet the requirements of Section 24-124(a)(6)(B)(I) of the Subdivision Ordinance in considering traffic impacts, in its review DPW&T raised objection to the proffered mitigation measure. Since any proposed mitigation measure must meet the established standards or requirements of the appropriate operating agencies, staff recommends the provision of a double left-turn lane and an exclusive right-turn lane on westbound approach of Walker Mill Road, as suggested by DPW&T, as a more appropriate mitigation measure for this intersection.

Plan Comments

The current plan indicates dedication along existing Addison Road of 60 feet from centerline. Additional dedication of the right-of-way along Addison Road might be needed in accordance with the funded Capital Improvement Program to widen the roadway. The plan needs to show dedication of 60 feet for the extension of Hanlon Street along the entire northern property line to Addison Road. This public roadway will effectively serve as the access roadway to the church, and will function as an east-west public street envisioned by the approved *Addison Road Metro Town Center Sector Plan*. The proposed church access driveway needs to be shifted to west such that it is directly opposite of the existing Zelma Avenue and forms a four-legged intersection with Hanlon Street.

Based on the preceding findings, adequate transportation facilities would exist to serve the proposed subdivision as required under Section 24-124 of the Prince George's County Code.

10. **Schools**—This preliminary plan does not propose residential dwelling units. There are no anticipated impacts on schools.
11. **Fire and Rescue**—The Historic Preservation and Public Facilities Planning Section has reviewed this subdivision plan for adequacy of fire and rescue services in accordance with Section 24-122.01(d) and Section 24-122.01(e)(1)(B)-(E) of the Subdivision Ordinance and found the following:
 - a. The existing engine service at Capitol Heights Fire Station, Company 5, located at 6061 Central Avenue, has a service travel time of 2.08 minutes, which is within the 3.25-minute travel time guideline.
 - b. The existing paramedic service at Silver Hill Fire Station, Company 29, located at 3900 Silver Hill Road, has a service travel time of 9.42 minutes, which is beyond the 7.25-minute travel time guideline.

- c. The existing ladder truck service at Capitol Heights Fire Station, Company 5, located at 6061 Central Avenue, has a service travel time of 2.08 minutes, which is within the 4.25-minute travel time guideline.

The existing paramedic service located at Silver Hill, Company 29, is beyond the recommended travel time guideline. The nearest fire station Capitol Heights, Company 05 is located at 6061 Central Avenue, which is 2.08 minutes from the development. This facility would be within the recommended travel time for paramedic service if an operational decision to locate this service at that facility is made by the county.

The above findings are in conformance with the *Approved Public Safety Master Plan 1990* and the *Guidelines for the Analysis of Development Impact on Fire and Rescue Facilities*.

12. **Police Facilities**—The approved 2002 General Plan addresses the provision of public facilities that will be needed to serve existing and future county residents. The plan includes planning guidelines for police facilities and are as follows:

Station space per capita: 141 square feet per 1,000 county residents

The police facilities test is done on a countywide basis in accordance with the policies of the Planning Board. There are 267,660 square feet of space in all of the facilities used by the Prince George's County Police Department and the latest population estimate is 825,520. Using the standard of 141 square feet per 1,000 residents, 116,398 square feet of space for police facilities are needed. The current amount of space available, 267,660 square feet, is above the guideline. The proposed development is within the service area for Police District III, Palmer Park.

13. **Health Department**— The subject property is improved with an existing dwelling unit which is served by private well and septic systems. The existing shallow well should be backfilled and sealed in accordance with the Code of Maryland Regulation 26.01.01 by a licensed well driller or witnessed by a representative at the time the dwelling is razed, and demonstrated prior to the issuance of grading permits.
14. **Stormwater Management**—The Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T) has determined that on-site stormwater management is required. A Stormwater Management Concept Plan, #13505-2001-01, was approved with conditions to ensure that development of this site does not result in on-site or downstream flooding. Development must be in accordance with the approved plan.
15. **Historic**—The subject property is located to the east of a small tributary to Watts Branch between two unnamed streams that flow into Broad Creek. The subject property is located roughly 200 feet east of the small tributary. Prehistoric sites have been found in similar settings and the probability of identifying prehistoric archeological resources is moderate.

An examination of aerial photographs and tax records indicates that the current house on the property was built in 1926. The subject property was part of the larger land holdings of John E. Berry, Jr. during the mid-to late-19th century. John E. Berry's residence is shown near the subject property in the 1861 Martenet map. John E. Berry, Jr. is listed as a slave owner in the 1850 and 1860 census records. Although the property has been occupied and built on in the early 20th century to the present, intact archeological deposits may still be on the property.

Two archeological sites, 18PR38 and 18PR839, have been identified within a one-mile radius of the subject property. Both sites are related to a 20th- century-farmstead that was located on the former property of Albert Berry. Two county Historic Sites, PG:72-007-02 St. Margaret's Church and PG:72-020, a D.C. Boundary Marker, are also located within a one-mile radius of the subject property. The probability of the subject property containing significant archeological sites is moderate.

In accordance with the Planning Board's directives, as described in the *Guidelines for Archeological Review*, May 2005, and consistent with Subtitle 24-104, 121(a)(18), and 24-135.01, the subject property should be the subject of a Phase I archeological investigation to identify any archeological sites that may be significant to the understanding of the history of human settlement in Prince George's County, including the possible existence of slave quarters and slave graves, as well as archeological evidence of the presence of Native American peoples.

Staff requested a Phase I archeological investigation for the entire property with this preliminary plan application. The Phase I (Identification) archeological investigations, according to the Planning Board's *Guidelines for Archeological Review* (May 2005), were submitted on March 12, 2008, and therefore did not provide adequate time for staff to review the study prior to the writing of the staff report. Therefore, staff is recommending that the submitted Phase I archeological investigation be required as a submittal requirement with the detailed site plan. If archeological sites are identified there would be no impact to any lotting pattern because the applicant is proposing to create one parcel. The detailed site plan would be the appropriate vehicle to evaluate the findings of the report and ensure that the building locations, which are the subject of the DSP, be placed appropriately if preservation in place is recommended.

16. **Nonresidential Use**—The subject property is zoned R-T (DDO). While the subject application is not proposing any residential development, the current zoning does permit residential uses subject to the appropriate approvals being in place. If those approvals were obtained a new preliminary plan should be approved. Because there exist different adequate public facility tests and there are considerations for recreational components for residential subdivisions, a new preliminary plan should be required if residential development is to be considered.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that an appeal of the Planning Board's action must be filed with Circuit Court for Prince George's County, Maryland within thirty (30) days following the date of notice of the adoption of this Resolution.

* * * * *

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the action taken by the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on the motion of Commissioner Cavitt, seconded by Commissioner Clark, with Commissioners Cavitt, Clark, Vaughns and Parker voting in favor of the motion, and with Commissioner Squire absent at its regular meeting held on Thursday, April 3, 2008, in Upper Marlboro, Maryland.

Adopted by the Prince George's County Planning Board this 24th day of April 2008.

Oscar S. Rodriguez
Executive Director

By Frances J. Guertin
Planning Board Administrator

OSR:FJG:WC:bjs